







Environment

This report was prepared by the NWRM project, led by Office International de l'Eau (OIEau), in consortium with Actéon Environment (France), AMEC Foster Wheeler (United Kingdom), BEF (Baltic States), ENVECO (Sweden), IACO (Cyprus/Greece), IMDEA Water (Spain), REC (Hungary/Central & Eastern Europe), REKK inc. (Hungary), SLU (Sweden) and SRUC (UK) under contract 07.0330/2013/659147/SER/ENV.C1 for the Directorate-General for Environment of the European Commission. The information and views set out in this report represent NWRM project's views on the subject matter and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the Commission. The Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this report. Neither the Commission nor any person acting on the Commission's behalf may be held Key words: Biophysical impact, runoff, water retention, effectiveness - Please consult the NWRM glossary for more information.

NWRM project publications are available at <u>http://www.nwrm.eu</u>

Table of content

I.	Basic Information
II.	Policy context and design targets1
III.	Site characteristics
IV.	Design & implementation parameters
V.	Biophysical impacts5
VI.	Socio-Economic Information
VII.	Monitoring & maintenance requirements7
VII	I. Performance metrics and assessment criteria
IX.	Main risks, implications, enabling factors and preconditions9
X.	Lessons learned9
XI.	References10
XII.	Photo Gallery11

I. Basic Information

Application ID	Hungary_01			
Application Name	Habitat Reconstruction in the forests of the Körös Valley			
Application Location	Country:	Hungary	Country 2:	
	NUTS2 Code	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	HU33	
	River Basin District Code		HU1000	
	WFD Water Body Code			
	Description	· ·	Former floodplain of th the Town Gyula, Coun	
Application Site Coordinates	Latitude:		Longitude:	
(in ETRS89 or WGS84 the coordinate	- <i>ETRS89</i>	or WGS84?	- ETRS89 or WGS8	4? Specify:
system)	Specify:		21.389736	
	46.698664		21°23'23.1"E	
	46°41'55.2"N			
Target Sector(s)	Primary:	Forest		
	Secondary:	Hydromorp	ohology	
Implemented NWRM(s)	Measure #1: N3 Floodplain			
Application short description	Measure #1:Type FoodplainMeasure #2:F5 Land use conversionThe fragments of floodplain forests (Fraxino - pannonicae - Ulmetum) along the River Körös were cut from the river by dykes during the river regulation works in the 19 th century. The deteriorated groundwater conditions were worsened in a 			

II. Policy context and design targets

Brief description of the problem	River regulation works of the 19th and 20th century resulted in degraded living			
to be tackled	conditions for the river valley forests (declining groundwater levels, lack of			
	inundations). A 12 year long dry period in the 1980-1990 pushed the			
	remaining forests	into critical status. The insufficient available water quantity		
	had to increase.			
What were the primary &	Primary target	Regulation of hydrological cycle and water flow		
secondary targets when designing	#1:			
this application?	Secondary	Self-regulation of water by filtration / storage /		
	target #1:	accumulation by ecosystems		
	Remarks			

CS: Habitat reconstruction in Körös Valley, Hungary

Which specific types of pressures did you aim at mitigating?	Pressure #1:	WFD identified pressure	4.1.1 Physical alteration of channel/bed/riparia n area/shore of water body for flood protection	
	Remarks			
Which specific types of adverse impacts did you aim at mitigating?	Impact #1:	WFD identified impact	Damagetogroundwaterdependentterrestrialecosystemsforchemical/quantitative reasons	
	Remarks			
Which EU requirements and EU	Requirement	WFD-mitigation of	Adverse effects of	
Directives were aimed at being	#1:	significant pressure	hydromorphological changes	
addressed?			on groundwater and riparian ecosystems	
	The measures	were made before Hungar	y joined the EU, so the	
	specifications are backward induction of the recent classification.			
Which national and/or regional policy challenges and/or requirements aimed to be addressed?	national policies. It was a local initiative to tackle the site specific			

III. Site characteristics

Dominant Land Use type(s) Select from the drop-down menu with	Dominant land use Secondary land use Other important land use	311		
the CORINE LU types and codes.	Remarks	Remarks		
Climate zone	warm temperate dry			
Soil type	Type in the relevant soil type (FAO class) f	from the list in Annex 3		
Average Slope	nearly level (0-1%)	nearly level (0-1%)		
Mean Annual Rainfall	300 - 600 mm			
Mean Annual Runoff	0 - 150 mm			
Average Runoff coefficient (or				
% imperviousness on site)	No runoff from the area, the inflow water infiltrates into the soil.			
Characterization of water quality status (prior to the implementation of the NWRMs)	The complex WFD status of the River Fekete Körös (the source of water to the site) is medium. The water quality is good, the low classification is due to the hydromorphological problems. The area of the measure had no water supply before the measure, so it had no water quality status/information.			

Comment on any specific site characteristic that influences the effectiveness of the applied NWRM(s) in a positive or negative way	Positive way: This is a state owned forest that consists of one forest body. Also it is inside a temporary flood storage reservoir in a band of the River Fekete-Körös. The isolation of the area and lack of the risk of flooding nearby areas made it an easy case from the water directorate's point of view. The landscape relief contains the former river branches in the territory this gave the basic network of the rehabilitated water supply network.
--	--

IV. Design & implementation parameters

Project scale	Medium (eg. public park, new development district)	The forest site is cc 2000 ha	
	Date of installation/construction (MM.YYYY)	1996	
Time frame	Expected average lifespan (life expectancy) of the application in years	Forever	
	Name of responsible authority/ stakeholder	Role, responsibilities	
	1. DALERD Rt (South-Alföld Forestry Ltd.	Lead Planner, implementer of the development	
Responsible authority and other	2.Állami Erdészeti Szolgálat Kecskeméti Igazgatóság, National Forestry Service – Kecskemét	Advise on planning	
stakeholders involved	3.ÁPV Rt (National Privatization and Asset management Ltd, state owned)	Owner of the regional forestry management organization, Dalerd – financial sources for the development	
	4.Körösvidéki Vízügy Igazgatóság (Körös River Water Directorate	Co-planner	
The application was initiated and financed by	came from the (that time functioning) forest regeneration fund of		
What were specific principles that were followed in the design of this application?	LICE THE EVICTION INTROCTRUCTURE AND TORMER RIVER DEAL CHANNELS AS		

CS: Habitat reconstruction in Körös Valley, Hungary

	Number of hectares treated by the NWRM(s). e.g. It could be the upstream drainage area in case of retention ponds	15.7
Area (ha)		15.7 hectares new water surface in lakes and channels. The total length of the rehabilitated arms is 38.8 km. It is estimated that 400 hectares of the forest has improved conditions
Design capacity	Maximum quantity of water derive water level. During a year there During this period cc 2 million m3	is cc 180 days for water supply.
	Reference	URL
Reference to existing		
engineering standards, guidelines and manuals that	2.	
guidennes and manuals that		
8	3.	
have been used during the design phase	3. 4.	
have been used during the		

V. <u>Biophysical impacts</u>

Impact	Impact description (Text, approx. 200 words)	Impact	quantification
category (short		(specifying	1
name)		Parameter	% change in
,		value;	parameter
Select from the		units	value as
drop-down			compared to
menu below:		and/or	the state prior
			to the
V			implementation
			of the
			NWRM(s)
Runoff	Reduction of runoff	2 million	it was zero
attenuation /		m3/year	
control		-	
Peak flow rate	No mater supply from the front mater of flood manes		
reduction	No water supply from the front water of flood waves		
	There is no reliable monitoring, but a 2,5 meter increase of		
Impact on	ground water level was detected in a forest dwell 300 meters from		
groundwater	the channel after the re-introduction of the water supply of the		
	area.		
Impact on soil			
moisture and soil			
storage capacity			
Restoring			
hydraulic	Hydraulic connections are developed cc half of the year	180 days	
connection			
	No direct impact on the source river as no back-flow. Indirect		
Water quality	positive impact. The forest assimilates the nutrient overloaded	no.available	
Improvements	water from the near-by fishery that previously drained its used	data.	
	water directly into the river.		
WFD Ecological	The area is not part of the Fekete Körös River water body, while		
Status and	the connection of this former floodplain improves the river's		
objectives	hydromorphology status.		
Reducing flood			
risks (Floods			
Directive)			
Mitigation of			
other biophysical			
impacts in	Improved habitat for species. Increased diversity of plant and		
relation to other	bird species. There are surveys and list of the species, but it is not		
EU Directives	quantitative.		
(e.g. Habitats,			
UWWT, etc.)			
Soil Quality			
Improvements			
Other			

VI. <u>Socio-Economic Information</u>

What are the benefits and co-benefits of NWRMs in this application?	due to water she application. Potential increase compared to other year (20 years, tw implementation).	ortage is the e of timber r forest sites wi vo forest plan ue to natural r	ure timber production main benefit of the mass growth rate ll be investigated next ning cycles from the regeneration of some ornus).	
	Total:	Value in €	Text / Specify	
	Capital:	650.000 - 970.000 €	Planner's estimation of the development cost in 2014 prices of the same work volume, 200-300 million HUF	
	Land acquisition and value:			
Financial costs	Operational:		No need of additional operation costs. The eventual operation of the sluices can be covered in the basic operation.	
	Maintenance:		No maintenance need for the next 2-3 decades.	
	Other:			
Were financial compensations required?	No Total amount of mony			
What amount?	Compensation schema	Compensation schema:		
	Comments / Remark	Comments / Remarks:		
	Actual income loss: T	There is no loss		
	Additional costs:			
Economic costs	Other opportunity costs:			
	compensations: The m	neasure was imple ven. Other potent	for the lack of costs and emented where conflict free ial sites were scaled down in land use.	
Which link can be made to the ecosystem services approach? <i>Hint: The actual benefits of improving nature's</i> <i>water storage capacity are essentially linked to an</i>	Gyula town, edu	ea is the Forest cation and re-	t School of the nearby creation facilities for ll importance. Total	

CS: Habitat reconstruction in Körös Valley, Hungary

improved provision of some of the following ecosystem	education activity reaches 3-4 thousand education day
goods and services: - Freshwater for drinking. - Water provision to deliver water services to the	per year (counted like workload in the person month) and 3-6 visitor nights. Wildlife management – the area provides higher animal carrying capacity
economy both for drinking and non-drinking purposes.	Assimilation of nutrient load: it provides a mutually
- Water security (reliability of supply and resilience to drought).	advantageous solution to "treat" the nutrient content of the discharged water from the fishery adjacent to the
- Health security (control of waterborne diseases).	forest site. It can work instead of draining it back to the
- Flood security and protection.	river.
- Storm surge protection.	
- Biomass production.	
- Amenities (associated to habitat protection): fish and plants, tourism, recreation, and others.	
- Benefits of improved coastal water quality and ecological status for a sustainable commercial production of shellfish with human health and welfare values.	

VII. <u>Monitoring & maintenance requirements</u>

Monitoring requirements	There is no permanent monitoring about the changes what introduction of the measure generates, there are event-by event surveys that are conducted mostly from botanical approach.
Maintenance requirements	There is no extra maintenance required. There is no eutrophication process in the channel system due to the shadow that the forest provides. It means that there were no need to clear emerging vegetation (for example weed) or dredge the branches and by the expectation of the forestry this will remain the case in the future as well. The inlet sluices via the water arrives to the area are robust long term structures without real annual maintenance needs.
What are the administrative costs?	The cost of coordination between the water directorate (provider of water) and the forestry (manager of the area). During the first years of the operation there were significant attempts (workload) to create a formal co- ordination guidance between the two organizations. It was aimed to define strict guidelines for the directorate to be able to act independently on the opening and closure of the sluices, but now a simpler, direct communication based control method prevails without significant costs for them. Opening and closure of the inlets take place a few occasions per year.

VIII. Performance metrics and assessment criteria

Which assessment methods and practices are used for assessing the biophysical impacts?	Comparison to the previous status: Groundwater - water level in wells situated in the forest. Biomass – not yet assessed, it will take place next year in the context of the decadal forestry plan supervision	
Which methods are used to assess costs, benefits and cost-effectiveness of measures?		
How cost-effective are NWRM's compared to "traditional / structural" measures?	Additional water supply to the area had no alternative only from the river. The question was the technical solution, how water can cross the flood dykes that goes along the river. The applied sluices for this purpose are very robust, low-tech solutions. There were no other solution that provides control of the inflow for lower price.	
How do (if applicable) specific basin characteristics influence the effectiveness of measures?	The relief of the area – the former river channel and side arm residues - provided a natural basis for the new water supply network. A key point of providing water from the river for previously cut floodplains is the duration of suitable water level in the river. In this case a downstream dam on the River Körös provides this sufficiently high water level. In spite of the irrigation purpose of the damming there is no conflicts of interest because forests can receive surplus water outside the irrigation season	
What is the standard time delay for measuring the effects of the measures?	Forestry – 20 years. The next round of timber volume estimation will take place 20 years after the introduction of the measure. It will give information that makes the biomass growth comparable to other territories' results where there were no water supply for the forest. Ecosystem, biodiversity. The effect of improved floodplain dynamics could be identified next year, but it does not show a trend but constant adaptation to the actual year's water abundance. Groundwater recharge weeks. (Groundwater levels in wells in the forest increased in one- two weeks-time after the water supply started.	

IX. Main risks, implications, enabling factors and preconditions

	Implementation required the reconciliation of forestry and water
	management interests. The main issues were:
	- Should the forestry pay for the water (resource)? It was
What were the main	resolved as ecological water supply
implementation barriers?	- No water inlet at the front side of the flood waves because of
	flood safety reasons.
	- The forestry resign to demand compensation for damages that
	the water that remains out in its territory can cause.
	The main success factor was the forestry management's
	unequivocal understanding of the structural problem that
	threatens the sustainable operation: the lack of inundations. They
What were the main enabling and	grasped the first occasion to finance and negotiate such a
success factors?	development.
	The financial consolidation/restructuring process of the state
	forestry organizations provided financial sources that were used
	to improve fundamental production conditions.
	Reorganization subsidy came from the owner the (state owned)
	National Privatization and Asset Management Ltd. (Regional
Financing	Forestry Organizations were formed into state owned joint stock
	companies).
	Transfer from the (that time existed) national Forest Regeneration
	Fund
	The application depends on the sufficiently lasting high water
	level in the river that now a downstream dam provides. It solves
	the most pressing predictability issue.
	On the other hand the forest can play an equalizer role. The area
	could absorb more water, if technical flood risk concerns were
Flexibility & Adaptability	solved. It means the area can adapt to different regimes to receive
	water. On the other side, because of the forest's ability to store
	water it can adapt by decreasing intake in case of growing
	competing water demands during water shortage periods in the
	summer.
	There were no such applications proposed elsewhere in the
	country.
	The application could be used all around the country.
	The necessary precondition is the complex problem identification
Transferability	and task definition at the responsible/acting institutions.
	The missing key element of transferability is the conflict
	resolution in order to create the necessary conditions of land
	conversion.

X. <u>Lessons learned</u>

	Surface water supply is an effective way of recharging groundwater storage capacity of forest soil in order to reduce droughts risk by enhancing the natural inter- seasonal allocation of water.
Key lessons	
	The key difference of this application compared to an area with generally the same problems is the possibility to sort out the transaction costs that emerge in an area with multi-party ownership.

If the suitable complex knowledge happens to be at the right place, no further incentive is needed to take advantage of an upcoming possibility. – It highlights the fundamental need for education about the complex nature of the water-land use-ecosystem nexus.

XI. <u>References</u>

Source Type	Other (specify)
Source Author(s)	Puskás, Lajos
Source Title	A FEKETE-KÖRÖS ERDEINEK VÍZPÓTLÁSA ÉS 15 ÉVES ÖKOLÓGIAI EREDMÉNYEI The water supply of the forests of the Fekete Körös and the ecological results of the first 15 years.
Year of publication	2010
Editor/Publisher	
Source Weblink Direct weblink(s) of the reference	http://evgi.emk.nyme.hu/fileadmin/dokumentumok/emk/evgi/Erdopedagogia/ pl1.doc

Source Type	Scientific Article
Editor/Publisher	Crisicum 3. pp.217-224.
Source Title	Élőhelyrekonstrukció a Körös-völgyi erdőkben Habitat reconstruction in the Körös valley forests
Year of publication	2000
Source Weblink Direct weblink(s) of the reference	http://kmnp.nemzetipark.gov.hu/ user/browser/File/CRISICUM III HU/III 2 17 224 Puskas.pdf

Source Type Select from the drop-down menu	Intervi	ew	
Key People		Name / affiliation	Contact details
	1.	Puskás, Lajos – Director of Education Dalerd Zrt	<u>erdeiiskola@dalerd.hu</u>

XII. Photo Gallery



Map of the Mályávádi flood storage reservoir. Blue line - River Körös, red line – boundary of the flood storage reservoir, green area – forest, brown areas – settlements. The blue rectangle in the bottom right corner is the fishery that is referred to in the text.

Source: Puskás 2010, A Fekete-Körös erdeinek vízpótlása és 15 éves ökológiai eredményei, (The water supply of forest along the river Fekete-Körös and its ecological results) Figure 1